



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/085,497	02/26/2002	Mark A. Tschiegg	398624	8699
	30955	7590 11/23/2005		EXAM	INER
	LATHROP & GAGE LC 4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE SUITE 300 BOULDER, CO 80301			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2168	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/085,497	TSCHIEGG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Greta L. Robinson	2168

<u> </u>		7.0.C O				
	Greta L. Robinson	2168				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	oliones with 27 CED 44 27 moves he	filed within how month				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	••				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	•			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-63</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
IO. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		/ hill	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	lo(s). The Disks					
		Greta Robinson Primary Examiner	5			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The mere fact that the reference patent or application publication which shows but does not claim certain subject matter and the application which claims it are owned by the same assignee does not avoid the necessity of filing an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131, in the absence of showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that the patentee derived the subject matter relied on from applicant note MPEP 715.01(b) and 716.10. Applicant has not submitted evidence that Innes et al. reference and present claimed invention are commonly assigned; the claims stand rejected as outlined in the final office action mailed June 7, 2005.

OK TO ENTER ON "/18/6"

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 0 7 2000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No.	10/085.497
Confirmation No.	8699
Filing Date	
Inventorship	Tschiegg et al.
Applicant	Employers Reinsurance Corporation
Group Art Unit	2167
Examiner	
Attorney's Docket No	85ER-00119/ER1-006US
Title: Risk Management Information Interface	System and Associated Methods

CF 6/7/2005 FINAL REJECTION AND 9/29/2005 ADVISORY ACTION

To:

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:

David M. Huntley (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)

Lee & Hayes, PLLC

421 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 500

Spokane, WA 99201